SYNTHESIS AND REACTIVITY OF 1-AZAS PIROPENTANES Donald H. Aue,\* Robert B. Lorens,<sup>1</sup> and Gregory S. Helwig Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California, 93106

(Received in USA 11 September 1973; received in UK for publication 15 October 1973)

Only recently have heterocyclic analogs of spiropentane been prepared.<sup>2-5</sup> We report herein the preparation and reactivity of N-substituted derivatives of the parent azaspiropentane ring system.

Methylenecyclopropane slowly reacts with phenyl azide in methylene chloride solution at  $25^{\circ}$  to give a single triazoline, tentatively assigned structure 1, in 68% yield, m.p. 120.5-122.0°; nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  1.29 (AA 'BB', 4H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 7.27 (m, 5H); <u>m/e</u> 173.0947. Irradiation of a



methylene chloride solution of  $1 \pm 0^{\circ}$  with a pyrex filtered mercury arc gives 1-phenyl-1azaspiro[2.2]pentane, 2 (60%), b.p. 50° (0.1 mm); nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>) & 1.0 (AA'BB', 4H), 2.68 (s, 2H), 6.9 (m, 5H); m/e 145.0890.

The N-methoxycarbonyl derivative  $\underline{2}$  of azaspiropentane is available by direct addition of methoxycarbonylnitrene to methylenecyclopropane. Thus, when a solution of methylazidoformate in methylenecyclopropane is irradiated at 0° with a mercury arc lamp, the azaspiropentane  $\underline{2}$  is obtained in 35% yield, b.p. 42-44° (1.5 mm); ir (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 1729 cm<sup>-1</sup>, nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  1.03 (AA 'BB', 4<sub>H</sub>), 2.57 (s,2<sub>H</sub>), 3.63 (s, 3<sub>H</sub>); <u>m/e</u> 127.0635. These reactions provide convenient, potentially general methods for the synthesis of 1-azaspiropentanes.



4795

When an analogous nitrene addition to 1,3,3-trimethylcyclopropene was carried out at  $0^{\circ}$ , the 2-azabicyclobutane  $\frac{1}{2}$  was not observed, but the imine 5 was produced in low yield, nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)



 $\delta$  1.8-2.2, (m, 9H); 3.83 (s, 3H); 9.04 (s, 1H); ir 1730, 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <u>m/e</u> 155.0946. Addition to 1-methylcyclopropene proceeds similarly to give  $\underline{50}^{6}$  The presumed intermediate 2-azabicyclo-[1.1.0]butane  $\underline{4}$ , appears to be unstable to thermal ring opening to  $\underline{5}$  at low temperature.<sup>7</sup> In contrast to this behavior, the azaspiropentanes  $\underline{2}$  and  $\underline{5}$  are stable above  $100^{\circ}$ .<sup>8</sup> Neither  $\underline{2}$  nor  $\underline{3}$ gives a 1:1 adduct with dimethylacetylenedicarboxylate on heating above  $100^{\circ}$  or on irradiation. In spite of the fact that spiro[2.2]pentane and bicyclo[1.1.0]butane have almost identical strain energies (<u>ca</u>. 65 kcal/mole),<sup>9</sup> they appear to differ markedly in reactivity toward thermal ring opening<sup>10</sup> as a result of differences in orbital overlap and thermochemistry<sup>8</sup> for the ring opening reactions. The analogous 2-oxaspiropentanes<sup>2,3</sup> are remarkably stable thermally, while 2-oxabicyclobutanes are postulated to be unstable at 0° in peracid oxidations of cyclopropenes.<sup>8</sup>,11

While 1,2-diphenyl-1-azaspiro[2.2]pentane rearranges to <u>6</u> thermally, photochemically or with acid catalysis,<sup>5</sup> and oxaspiropentanes readily rearrange to cyclobutanones;<sup>2,3</sup> the azaspiropentanes <u>2</u> and <u>3</u> are stable in the gas phase at elevated temperatures<sup>8</sup> and they do not rearrange to cyclobutanone derivatives with acid catalysts. Instead, they undergo  $S_N^2$ -like openings to cyclopropane derivatives on treatment with strong protic acids. Like the acid catalyzed ring



openings of oxaspiropentane, the ring opening always leads to nucleophilic attack at the less hindered primary carbon site.<sup>3</sup> For example, treatment of 2 with sulfuric acid in methanol leads to the amino ether  $\chi$  (74%), b.p. 70° (0.01 mm); nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>) & 0.77 (s, 4H); 3.25 (s, 3H); 3.62 (s, 2H); 4.30 (s, 1H); 7.0 (m, 5H); <u>m/e</u> 177.1155.



Addition of anhydrous hydrogen chloride to 2 in methylene chloride quantitatively gives the chloride  $\theta$ ; nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  0.95 (m, 4H); 3.72 (s, 3H); 7.0 (m, 5H); <u>m/e</u> 181.0657. Addition of hydrogen chloride to 3 likewise gives a quantitative yield of an unrearranged adduct 10, m.p. 73.0-74.5°; ir (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 3430, 1735 cm<sup>-1</sup>; nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  0.92 (broad s, 4H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 5.72 (broad s, 1H); <u>m/e</u> 163.0395. Similarly, 3 reacts with methanesulfonic acid



in methylene chloride to produce an adduct 9(63%), m.p.  $90^{\circ}$  (dec.); ir (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3430, 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup>; nmr (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  0.96 (s, 4H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 4.27 (s, 2H); 5.42 (broad s, 1H). Both aziridines 2 and 3 are stable, however, to lithium iodide in refluxing methylene chloride. Oxaspiropentanes react readily with sulfonic acids and lithium salts to give cyclobutanones,<sup>3</sup> but with hydrogen chloride in methylene chloride solution they give stable epichlorohydrins analogous to the azaspiropentane products 8 and 10.

Acknowledgements. We thank the donors of The Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society and The Cancer Research Coordinating Committee of the University of California for support of this work.

## References

- 1. NSF-URP program participant, Summer, 1971; President's Undergraduate Research Fellowship at the University of California, Santa Barbara, 1971-72.
- Oxygen derivatives have been recently prepared: J. K. Crandall and D. R. Paulson, J. Org. Chem., 33, 991 (1968); J. K. Crandall and D. R. Paulson, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 2751 (1969); J. R. Wiseman and H-F. Chan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 4749 (1970); M. J. Bogdanowicz and B. M. Trost, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 887 (1972); B. M. Trost and M. J. Bogdanowicz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 289 (1973); C. R. Johnson, G. F. Katekar, R. F. Huxol and E. R. Janiga, <u>ibid.</u>, 23, 3771 (1971); J. R. Salaun and J. M. Conia, <u>Chem. Commun.</u>, 1579 (1971).
- 3. D. H. Aue, M. J. Meshishnek and D. F. Shellhamer, following paper.
- A thiaspiropentane has been reported by J. G. Pacifici and C. Diebert, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 21, 4595 (1969).
- 1,2-Diphenyl-1-azaspiropentane has recently been synthesized, J. K. Crandall and W. W. Conover, <u>Chem. Commun.</u>, 33 (1973). We thank Dr. Crandall for correspondence before publication.
- 6. J. N. Labows and D. Swern, Tetrahedron Lett., 4523 (1972).
- 7. The possibility that 5 might have been formed by ring opening of a dipolar intermediate in a stepwise nitrene addition rather than via 4 appears unlikely since 3 is formed without rearrangement and because the direction of aziridine ring opening to give 5 is not consistent with a polar intermediate. Similarly, opening of the epoxide rings in oxabicyclobutanes give large amounts of products inconsistent with cleavage to the more stable carbonium ion (ref. 11).
- 8. In the gas phase, 2 rearranges with a half-life of <u>ca</u>. 10 min. at 160° while 3 decomposes at 190° in 1 hr. Professor J. K. Crandall has observed formation of a cyclobutanone derivative from 2 analogous to 6 above 275° (J. K. Crandall and W. W. Conover, private communication). We also observe this product in the gas phase at 160°. Oxaspiropentane rearranges to cyclobutanone much more readily with acid and on heating in solution, but the gas phase rearrangement to cyclobutanone is slower with t\$ ≈ 70 min. at 230° (see ref. 3). In analogous hydrocarbon reactions, spiropentane rearranges to methylenecyclobutane (with Ea = 57.6 kcal/mole, ref. 10), while bicyclobutane gives 1,3-butadiene (with Ea = 40.6 kcal/mole, ref. 10) on heating. The apparent thermal instabilities of azabicyclobutanes and oxabicyclobutanes (Ea < 18 kcal/mole) relative to the analogous hydrocarbons may be the result of thermochemical differences; see for example L. E. Friedrich and G. B. Schuster, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 4603 (1971). Note that for spiropentane ΔH°= -14.2 kcal, but for bicyclobutane ΔH°=-25.2 kcal.</p>
- 9. P. v. R. Schleyer, J. E. Williams and K. R. Blanchard, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 2377 (1970).
- J. J. Gajewski and L. T. Burka, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 4952 (1971); M. C. Flowers and H. M. Frey, J. Chem. Soc., 5550 (1961); H. M. Frey and I. D. R. Stevens, <u>Trans. Far. Soc.</u>, 61, 90 (1965).
- J. Ciabattoni and P. J. Kocienski, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>91</u>, 6534 (1969); L. Friedrich and R. A. Cormier, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>., <u>35</u>, 450 (1970); H. Prinzbach and U. Fischer, <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u>, 50, 1669 (1967).